## **Exhibit C**

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

ASSOCIATION OF EQUIPMENT
MANUFACTURERS,
AGCO CORPORATION,
CNH INDUSTRIAL AMERICA LLC,
DEERE & COMPANY and
KUBOTA TRACTOR CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:17-cv-00151-CSM

v.

THE HON. DOUG BURGUM, Governor of the State of North Dakota, in his Official Capacity, -andTHE HON. WAYNE STENEHJEM,

Attorney General of the State of North Dakota, in his Official Capacity,

Defendants,

-and-

NORTH DAKOTA IMPLEMENT DEALERS
ASSOCIATION,

Intervenor.

\_\_\_\_\_

AUDIOVISUAL DEPOSITION OF

MATTHEW LARSGAARD

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS

March 8, 2018

\* \* \*

1

```
1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
               FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA
 3
     ASSOCIATION OF EQUIPMENT
4
    MANUFACTURERS,
     AGCO CORPORATION,
5
    CNH INDUSTRIAL AMERICA LLC,
    DEERE & COMPANY, and
    KUBOTA TRACTOR CORPORATION,
6
7
          Plaintiffs,
                                Case No. 1:17-cv-00151-CSM
8
    v.
9
    THE HON. DOUG BURGUM, Governor
     of the State of North Dakota,
    in his Official Capacity,
10
11
    -and-
    THE HON. WAYNE STENEHJEM,
12
    Attorney General of the State
13
    of North Dakota, in his Official
     Capacity,
14
          Defendants,
15
     -and-
16
    NORTH DAKOTA IMPLEMENT
17
    DEALERS
    ASSOCIATION,
18
          Intervenor.
19
20
           DEPOSITION OF MATTHEW LARSGAARD, produced,
21
     sworn and examined on March 8, 2018, commencing at
22
     9:44 a.m. and concluding at 4:27 p.m., at Doug
    Ketcham & Associates, 51 Broadway, Suite 130,
23
24
     Fargo, North Dakota 58102, before Shawn Weber,
    Court Reporter and Notary Public.
25
```

```
1
                           APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS
          Timothy Q. Purdon
 5
          ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
 6
          1207 West Divide Avenue, Suite 200
          Bismarck, ND 58503
 8
          612.349.8767
          tpurdon@robinskaplan.com
 9
10
11
     ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS
12
          Matthew A. Sagsveen (Via Telephone)
13
          James E. Nicolai (Via Telephone)
          OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
14
          500 North Ninth Street
15
          Bismarck, ND 58501-4509
16
17
          701.328.3640
18
          msagsve@nd.gov
19
          jnicolai@nd.gov
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                    APPEARANCES (CONTINUING)
 2
 3
     ON BEHALF OF INTERVENOR
          Jason T. Allen
 5
          BASS SOX MERCER
          2822 Remington Green Circle
 6
          Tallahassee, FL 32308
 8
          850.878.6404
 9
          jallen@dealerlawyer.com
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	
2			
3	By Mr. Purdon		8
4	By Mr. Allen		298
5		* * *	
6			
7		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
8	(Lars	gaard Exhibits 1 - 16 Marked)	
9	#	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
10	Exhibit 1	NDIDA Staff	22
11	Exhibit 2	ADAND Staff	37
12	Exhibit 3	ASI Business Solutions Staff	41
13	Exhibit 4	2017 Senate Agriculture SB 2289	72
14	Exhibit 5	EDA 000912 - 000915	84
15	Exhibit 6	EDA 000922 - 000923	91
16	Exhibit 7	LJF000731 - 000733	109
17	Exhibit 8	NDIDA-PAC Campaign Finance	129
18	Exhibit 9	Exhibit D - SB 2289	142
19	Exhibit 10	EDA 000948 - 000960	151
20	Exhibit 11	EDA 000034 - 000039	176
21	Exhibit 12	Exhibit D - SB 2289	178
22	Exhibit 13	EDA 000342	200
23	Exhibit 14	Responses to First Requests	225
24	Exhibit 15	NDIDA0001 - 0009	232
25	Exhibit 16	Rule 26 Initial Disclosures	246
			-
			5

1	FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA
2	9:44 A.M
3	* * *
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going on the
5	record.
6	The time is 9:44 a.m. The date is
7	March 8, 2018.
8	This is the videotaped deposition of
9	Matthew Larsgaard in the matter of
10	Association of Equipment Manufacturers,
11	et al. versus the Hon. Doug Bergum and the
12	Hon. Wayne Stenehjem in the U.S. District
13	Court for the District of North Dakota,
14	Case Number 1:17-cv-00151-CSM.
15	This deposition is being held at the
16	offices of Doug Ketcham & Associates
17	located at 51 Broadway, Suite 130, Fargo,
18	North Dakota.
19	My name is Nolan Wileman from
20	Sound Deposition Services with offices
21	located at 400 Ocean Gate Plaza, Suite 400,
22	Long Beach, California. The court reporter
23	is Shawn Weber.
24	Counsel, would you please introduce
25	yourselves and who you represent?
	6
	· · ·

1	MR. PURDON: This is Tim Purdon,
2	Robins & Kap Robins Kaplan, representing
3	the plaintiffs in this matter.
4	MR. ALLEN: Jason Allen, Bass Sox
5	Mercer, on behalf of the defendant
6	intervenor.
7	MR. PURDON: Matt?
8	MR. SAGSVEEN: Matt Sagsveen on behalf
9	of State of North Dakota and the
10	aforementioned defendants.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court
12	reporter please swear in the witness?
13	* * *
14	MATTHEW LARSGAARD, having been first
15	duly sworn and responding "yes," was
16	examined and testified as follows:
17	MR. PURDON: Good morning,
18	Mr. Larsgaard. Thank you for being here
19	today.
20	As you know, my name is Tim Purdon. I
21	represent the the tractor manufacturers
22	and AEM in this matter, and we're going to
23	jump into your deposition here this
24	morning.
25	

1 The law firm that you're talking about, had 2 they represented you before they got involved in the 3 2289 issue? Α. What do you mean "represented me"? Provided you -- provided NDIDA legal 5 Q. 6 representation. I don't know that they did. 8 Okay. How did you get in contact -- I'm not Q. 9 asking what anybody said. I'm not asking what a lawyer said to you, but how did you get in contact 10 with that law firm -- why did you decide -- scratch 11 that question, ask it this way. 12 13 You contacted a law firm to assist you. How 14 did you pick that law firm? 15 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to object. 16 -- and I appreciate you qualifying it with 17 this is tricky. This is getting very, very close to the edge, and it's also -- you 18 probably saw in the discovery responses 19 20 that certain responses were objected to 21 under the privilege, too, and specifically 22 the first amendment privilege which allows 23 an association to deliberate and strategize 24 about proposed legislation. 25 So to the extent that this would impede

1 on any internal discussions regarding 2 SB2289, I'm going to ask you not to answer. 3 BY MR. PURDON: That's -- okay. But -- but if his brother 0. 4 told him, you should call this lawyer, I don't think 5 6 that's privileged under either of the privileges. 7 So my question is, to the extent not 8 privileged, how did you choose the lawyer that you went to, to discuss the drafting of 2289? 9 MR. ALLEN: And I would just instruct 10 you the same thing. To the extent that the 11 12 association's decision was made through 13 discussion, internal discussion about 14 proposed legislation, I'm going to instruct 15 you not to answer. 16 So if you can answer, feel free. 17 Otherwise ... THE DEPONENT: So having been in the 18 industry since 2006, you become aware of 19 20 law firms that work in different areas, law 21 firms that have assisted dealers, maybe 22 assisted manufacturers, and so you have a general idea of who's in the industry. 23 BY MR. PURDON: 24 So based on your knowledge of the industry 25 Q.

1 THE DEPONENT: Yeah, so we just covered that like minutes ago, right? So, yeah, 3 communicated the issues to the law firm after we had identified what some of those dealer concerns were. 5 6 BY MR. PURDON: Were there any writings memorizing your Ο. 8 concerns? 9 Α. I just don't remember right now how the initial communications were initiated. 10 Right. So that's a different question. 11 Ο. 12 Okay. Α. 13 Was there any document that you drafted Q. 14 before you talked to a lawyer that had your first stab at what 2289, what would become 2289? 15 16 MR. ALLEN: And again, please note my 17 previous objections to the extent that that document was prepared by the association 18 with respect to proposed legislation, I'm 19 20 going to instruct you not to answer. 21 the extent you can answer, please feel free 22 to try to do so. 23 THE DEPONENT: Okay. 24 If such a document exists MR. ALLEN: that he's asking about. 25

- 1 THE DEPONENT: I cannot think of -- I
- 2 cannot think of any specific document that
- I would have sent to the law firm.
- 4 BY MR. PURDON:
- 5 Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you some
- 6 questions, and the answer to all these might be no,
- 7 but I'm going to try to jog your memory, because you
- 8 seem to be sort of "I can't really remember." So
- 9 maybe this will help.
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. Do you recall taking the old dealer statute,
- 12 printing it off and maybe sitting down with a pencil
- 13 and changing things? Did you ever do anything like
- 14 that, you ever do you anything like that?
- 15 A. I am in the dealer statutes from time to
- 16 time throughout the year, and so as dealers present
- 17 concerns to me, I review the statutes and visit with
- 18 them about the statute. And if it's ever unclear or
- 19 if there's ever a question that needs an attorney to
- 20 answer it, since I'm not an attorney, then we engage
- 21 counsel accordingly.
- Q. Can you tell me the name of the law firm you
- 23 asked to assist you in drafting 2289?
- 24 A. I can.
- Q. Will you?

- 1 their concerns were, they would have shared that
- 2 information with you.
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And you may have a copy of that?
- 5 A. I may, yeah. Yeah, I may.
- 6 Q. Okay. Setting -- and I'm going to talk
- 7 before you engaged Mr. Allen's law firm to help with
- 8 you 2289, had you discussed proposed language with
- 9 anyone who was not an attorney before you -- before
- 10 that?
- 11 MR. ALLEN: Same objection as it
- 12 relates to internal association
- communications. I'm going to instruct you
- not to answer that. Otherwise, feel free
- to answer the question, though.
- 16 THE DEPONENT: You know, I talk to a
- 17 lot of different individuals. I mean,
- 18 that's what I do.
- 19 BY MR. PURDON:
- 20 O. Right.
- 21 A. So I can't think of any specific -- could
- 22 you say the question one more time?
- Q. I'm asking about specific language. Did you
- 24 show anybody language, did you say hey, get me what
- 25 you -- send me an e-mail of what you want in the

1 bill. I'm talking about any specific discussions of 2 specific language not with a lawyer and prior to 3 engaging Mr. Allen's law firm? You know, as I consider -- I can't remember Α. 4 any specific discussions. 5 6 Q. Was specific language ever discussed at any 7 NDIDA board meetings before you retained Mr. Allen? 8 MR. ALLEN: Same objection. I'm going to instruct you not to answer on the basis 9 10 of the first amendment privilege. MR. PURDON: Well, Jason, let me posit 11 12 this. I'd like to establish that there 13 were discussions. Because if there 14 weren't, then it's a different world, 15 right? 16 MR. ALLEN: Okay. 17 MR. PURDON: I'd like to establish there were discussions. And I think my 18 question was a yes or no one and then I 19 20 understand what you're saying. 21 MR. ALLEN: So you're seeking to 22 establish whether discussions occurred, not 23 the substance of the discussions? 24 MR. PURDON: Exactly. 25 MR. ALLEN: Okay.

- 1 A. Yep, so he was the president.
- 2 O. Yeah.
- 3 A. So did he call me to discuss the details of
- 4 the bill after it was introduced?
- Q. Yep.
- 6 A. I don't think Rick did, no.
- 7 O. Did -- did anybody from EDA call you to
- 8 discuss the bill after it was introduced?
- 9 A. I don't remember any specific discussions.
- 10 Yeah, I don't remember any specific discussions.
- 11 Q. Did you -- did you tell your members in --
- 12 prior to the introduction of the bill, the drafting
- 13 of the bill in 2017?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 O. Prior to that point in time did you tell any
- 16 the members of NDIDA that you were drafting that
- 17 legislation?
- 18 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to instruct you
- not to answer pursuant to the first
- amendment privilege as it relates to
- 21 discussions with your members regarding the
- introduction of legislation. To the extent
- you can provide an answer as to perhaps
- whether your members were aware, I don't
- 25 know if that satisfies your question, but

- 1 otherwise I'm going to instruct you not to
- answer.
- 3 BY MR. PURDON:
- 4 Q. Right. So my question was yes or no, did
- 5 you communicate, I want to establish if there were
- 6 communications.
- 7 So did you communicate to your members
- 8 before the bill dropped that there was -- that you
- 9 were drafting legislation? Yes or no question.
- 10 A. The members would have voted for
- 11 legislation.
- 12 Q. The members of board or the members of the
- 13 whole NDIDA?
- 14 A. The members would have known, the members of
- 15 NDIDA.
- 16 Q. So -- so you took a vote of your membership
- on whether or not to introduce legislation?
- 18 A. The communication -- let me rephrase that.
- 19 The communication, I don't remember exactly what that
- 20 communication would have been, but we would have
- 21 communicated with membership to identify their
- 22 concerns, what the issues were. Also thinking back
- 23 to that article as well that we'd sent out. Could
- 24 you -- tell you what, could you rephrase the question
- 25 again, Tim?

- 1 Q. You said the members would have voted. I
- 2 guess I don't understand what you would mean when you
- 3 say "voted." I'm assuming the board of directors
- 4 voted to authorize this action?
- 5 A. The membership did. The membership voted to
- 6 authorize legislation.
- 7 Q. How did you conduct this election?
- 8 A. Through e-mail.
- 9 O. So how many -- is this universe of 55 to 60
- 10 voters then that you identified as your membership?
- 11 A. It would be just -- so yeah, exactly the 55
- 12 yeah, exactly, the 55 dealers, the 110 rooftops,
- 13 that's where it gets broken up, but ...
- Q. Did they vote by rooftop or by member?
- 15 A. Each store is a member so it would be by
- 16 rooftop.
- 17 Q. So there would bel15, 110, 115 votes?
- 18 A. Typically.
- 19 O. Typically, do you often poll the membership
- and have them vote on issues this common?
- 21 A. No, it's not.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- A. Yeah.
- 24 O. Did the board ask you or empower you or
- 25 order you to -- to have this election before you

- 1 could move forward?
- 2 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to instruct you
- 3 not to answer that based on the first
- 4 amendment privilege.
- 5 BY MR. PURDON:
- 6 Q. So you e-mailed -- sent out an e-mail asking
- 7 for a yes or no vote, is that how this worked?
- 8 A. I'd have to try to remember what's exactly
- 9 within that e-mail, Tim, but it was -- it was an
- 10 e-mail that was specific to concerns that were
- 11 addressed in the legislation and identifying whether
- 12 or not the concerns that ended up in the bill were
- indeed industry-wide or I guess North Dakota wide,
- 14 industry-wide concerns that dealers would want
- 15 addressed.
- 16 Q. Did this election take place -- can you tell
- me when, ballpark, this election took place?
- 18 A. Summer or Fall of '16.
- 19 O. After you'd engaged the law firm?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you send around a draft of the bill?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you send around an e-mail explaining
- 24 what was potentially going to be in the bill?
- 25 A. I believe the e-mail contained identified --

- 1 MR. ALLEN: He's asking you yes or no
- 2 questions. He's not asking you substance.
- 3 To the extent you want to provide him
- 4 substance, I'm going to instruct you not to
- 5 answer.
- 6 THE DEPONENT: Okay. Could you restate
- 7 the question?
- 8 BY MR. PURDON:
- 9 Q. Did the e-mail that you sent around have a
- 10 description of what was likely to be in the bill?
- 11 A. I don't remember what exactly was in that
- 12 e-mail.
- Q. Did everybody -- so they just voted by
- 14 sending a reply e-mail?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. What was the vote total?
- 17 A. I don't remember.
- 18 Q. Was it a landslide, or was it close?
- 19 A. It was majority.
- 20 O. Right. But majority can be 50.1 percent.
- 21 A. I don't remember what the vote total was.
- Q. There were members who voted against it?
- A. No, there were not.
- Q. Oh, I see what you're saying. So how many
- 25 votes were cast?

- purge e-mails?
- 2 MR. ALLEN: I'm just going to instruct
- 3 you not to answer.
- 4 MR. PURDON: That's fair.
- 5 BY MR. PURDON:
- 6 Q. Besides the election of -- this election --
- 7 no, we'll move off that.
- 8 Did any NDIDA members express concern over
- 9 the drafting of 2289?
- 10 A. None.
- 11 Q. Did any NDIDA members object to you
- 12 introducing Senate Bill 2289?
- 13 A. None.
- 14 Q. Did any individuals condition their support
- 15 of 2289 on the exclusion or inclusion of any certain
- 16 terms?
- 17 MR. ALLEN: I'm just going to instruct
- 18 you not to answer to the extent it gets
- 19 into substance of communications with your
- members.
- 21 BY MR. PURDON:
- Q. But I'm just asking for a yes or no
- 23 question.
- 24 MR. ALLEN: But your yes or no question
- 25 contains the inform -- specific

- information. That's the problem. It's not
- just yes or no, did you communicate with
- 3 your members. It's yes or no, did you
- 4 communicate with your members about
- 5 specific items.
- 6 MR. PURDON: All right. I don't know
- 7 that you're right about that. I'll note an
- 8 exception, but we'll -- we're not going to
- 9 solve it here today between you and I.
- 10 BY MR. PURDON:
- 11 Q. Have you ever heard Senate bill 2289
- 12 referred to as "Farm Equipment Dealer Bill of
- 13 Rights"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. Have you ever used that term?
- 16 A. I may have.
- 17 Q. What does that mean to you?
- 18 A. What it means to me is that it's -- it's a
- 19 bill that is intended to create a level of equity
- 20 with respect to the relations of the manufacturers
- 21 and the public interest of North Dakota.
- Q. And the rights that you're talking about
- 23 here, where do these rights come from?
- A. Rights granted by the legislature of
- 25 North Dakota.

- 1 Q. Yes. Right, besides your --
- 2 A. I was the only one that represented NDIDA
- 3 besides dealers that testified.
- 4 Q. I'm talking about registered lobbyists,
- 5 people being paid for their time.
- 6 A. No, no. Just me.
- 7 Q. Just you?
- 8 A. Yep.
- 9 Q. Did you direct or assist any of your members
- 10 in lobbying any members of the committee or
- 11 committees or State legislators in connection with
- 12 2289?
- 13 MR. ALLEN: I'm going to object, and
- I'm going to instruct you not to answer
- pursuant to the first amendment privilege
- to the extent you had communications,
- internal communications with your members
- 18 regarding lobbying decisions and things of
- 19 that nature.
- 20 BY MR. PURDON:
- 21 Q. So let me establish whether or not any such
- 22 communications took place. Did you ever send e-mails
- 23 to your members? I don't know want to know what the
- 24 e-mails said at this point subject to his objection.
- 25 Let me ask it this way, were there communications to

- 1 Q. Consent to jurisdiction. I'm sorry, page
- 2 950. I'm sorry, I changed pages on you.
- 3 A. 950. Okay. So what's your conclusion --
- 4 what's your statement?
- 5 Q. So the -- the dealers who were being
- 6 offered the new Case New Holland contract, if they'd
- 7 have filed a lawsuit, they could have -- they could
- 8 have filed in North Dakota, correct? There was no
- 9 arbitration provision in the new -- in the -- under
- 10 old law, right?
- 11 MR. ALLEN: I'd just note my continued
- 12 objection.
- 13 THE DEPONENT: I don't know the answer
- 14 to that, Tim.
- 15 BY MR. PURDON:
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. You know, when I put this together, I mean,
- 18 that was more than two years ago, and you know, I do
- 19 research on different issues. You educate yourself
- 20 and then time moves on and you don't remember all the
- 21 details to ...
- Q. Was there an advantage, do you think,
- 23 strategically of -- of NDIDA deciding to seek new
- 24 legislation through 2289 as opposed to challenging
- 25 the onerous, the provisions you've described as

1 onerous in the new Case IH contract in a lawsuit? 2 MR. ALLEN: Object to the line of 3 questioning to the extent it seeks legislative strategy, discussions with -internal discussions with their members and 5 6 calls for a legal conclusion. So I'm going to instruct you not to answer to the extent 8 you had discussions with your members about the legislation itself, then to the extent 9 10 whether or not if there's an advantage calls for a legal conclusion. So you can 11 12 answer if you can. 13 BY MR. PURDON: 14 So my question is not -- and let me --Ο. 15 I'll -- I'll narrow my question. 16 Do you see -- I don't want to know about any 17 discussions or anything that you had with anybody, but do you think that back in February of 2016 as you 18 were thinking about what to do next, you got this 19 20 issue, you don't like this contract from Case New 21 Holland, your members don't like it, EDA is done with their negotiation, did you feel under pressure to do 22 something to protect your members at that point? 23 24 I don't remember what my feelings were at 25 that point in time.

```
1
          don't have anything further.
 2
              MR. PURDON: I don't either. We'll go
 3
          off the record.
              Do you want to talk to him about
          reading and signing?
 5
 6
              MR. ALLEN: Yep. We'll read and sign.
              THE REPORTER: Noted. Thank you.
 8
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
 9
          record. The time is 4:27 p.m.
10
              (Deposition concluded at 4:27 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                               299
```

1	NOTARY REPORTED C GERTLETCATE		
	NOTARY REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA		
3	COUNTY OF CASS		
4	I, Shawn D. Weber, a Notary Public within		
5	and for the County of Cass and State of North Dakota		
6	do hereby certify: That the afore-named witness was		
7	by me sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth,		
8	and nothing but the truth.		
9	That the foregoing 300 pages contain an		
10	accurate transcription of my shorthand notes then and		
11	there taken.		
12	I further certify that I am neither related		
13	to any of the parties or counsel, nor interested in		
14	this matter directly or indirectly.		
15	WITNESS my hand and seal this 23rd day of		
16	March, 2018.		
17			
18			
19	Shawn D. Weber		
20	Notary Public		
21	Fargo, North Dakota		
22	THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES		
23	NOT APPLY TO THE REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY		
24	MEANS, UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR		
25	DIRECTION OF THE CERTIFYING COURT REPORTER.		